FILED
JAN 30 2008
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT U.S.

No. 07-809

In the Supreme Court of the United States

Kwame Gyamfi,
Petitioner,
v.

Janet Brown,
Respondent

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

O. Kwame Gyamfi
905 Jackson Valley Ct
Bowie, MD 20721
(202)997-0941

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT	pg 1
U.S. Department of Justice	pg 2
Docket Sheet Analysis & Audit	pg 3
Findings	
Child Support Case-Audit #S-108-04	pg 4
Child Custody Case-Audit #04-DRB-1036	pg 8
Court of Appeals Case-Audit #06-FM-933	pg 9
U.S.C. Title 42 Section § 1983	pg 11
CONCLUSION	pg 12

APPENDIX A – U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division
APPENDIX B – Docket Sheet Child Support Case
APPENDIX C – Docket Sheet Domestic Relations Custody Case
APPENDIX D – Docket Sheet District of Columbia Court of Appeals
APPENDIX E – Excerpts of Trial Transcripts
APPENDIX F – Defendant Exhibit #14

The Petitioner, Mr. Kwame Gyamfi, respectfully submits this Supplemental Brief in support of the pending Writ of Certiorari, case number 07-809, currently before this court.

STATEMENT

Pursuant to this Court's Rule 15.8, the petitioner provides this supplemental brief to answer the outstanding issue regarding Rule 14(1)(e)(v) of this court, which required the petitioner to ascertain under Rule 29(4)(b) if the lower court certified the constitutional challenge with the U.S. Attorney General, initiated by the petitioner under FRAP 44 during the course of the appellate proceedings (See App D pg 7).

At the time of the original filing of the Petitioner's Writ of Certiorari dated, December 14, 2007, the certification answer from the U.S. Attorney General Office was not available to the petitioner1[1]. Hence, the petitioner wishes to bring to this courts attention, under this rule (15.8), two issues that have significance while this case is still pending before this court. These issues are 1)the U.S. Department of Justice official response to the petitioner's request for verification related to the FRAP 44 challenge and 2) the audit of the docket sheets from the lower court's pleadings and transactions with an emphasis on the magistrate's order of child support.

[1] See Petitioner's Writ of Certiorari pg 15

U.S. Department of Justice

On January 11th, 2008, the United States Department of Justice, Civil Division confirmed the following:

> "This office has consulted with the Office of the Solicitor General and ascertained that a copy of your petition for a writ of certiorari with the various appendices and certificate of service was received by that office. An attorney in this office also checked with the clerk's office in the D.C. Court of Appeals, and was advised there is no indication on the docket sheet for your case that the court of appeals has ever issued any certification in response to your Rule 44 notice" (See App. A).

This notice led the petitioner to request copies of the docket sheets of the docket numbers associated with this case from the lower courts; which included the D.C. Court of Appeals, Domestic Relations Division of both the Child Support Division and The Superior Court Family Division (See App B,C & D). The intent of the petitioner was to perform an audit and analysis of the transactions of the docket sheets and assess any abnormalities or irregularities that should be brought to this court's attention. The petitioner approached this task as an accountant would in reviewing cash flow and balance sheet statements of a corporation. The logic in this approach is obvious; a balance sheet statement must balance in view of assets, liabilities and equity. It doesn't matter if a company is profitable or not profitable; the statement must still "make sense" and therefore balance under the guidelines of standard accounting practices under GAAP[2]. In many ways, a "docket sheet" is a general ledger that

[2] GAAP 'General Accepted Accounting Practices'

accounts for (*all*) recorded transactions of a given case of the court (*at least in principle*). It is the responsibility of the clerk of the court to insure that these transactions are appropriately recorded on the docket of each case.[3]

Docket Sheet Analysis & Audit

The petitioner recognizes that there are challenges in this approach; however it is not an uncommon approach as noted by the U.S. Internal Revenue Services in their publication, **Financial Investigations** "***A Financial Approach to Detecting and Resolving Crimes***", which states "The docket sheet [of the court] can be very valuable to an investigator".

Hence, the Mr. Gyamfi understands that at some point this unconventional approach will have weaknesses. Therefore, this approach is only to provide an illustration of a basic review as to the activities of this case utilizing the docket sheets as a baseline. Hence, the court should take note that there are roughly eight docket numbers associated with this case, three of which are 'Writs of Mandamus' requested by the petitioner while the case was in the appellant phase of the D.C. Court of Appeals and simultaneously having litigation in the trial court. Therefore, this brief will only focus on three primary docket numbers which includes; the child support, child custody and the appellant case in order to avoid a voluminous supplemental document. (See App B,C & D).

[3] Tennessee Clerks of Court, "General Manual for Clerks of Courts"

Findings

Child Support Case-Audit #S-108-04

The results of this audit revealed that the child support docket sheet first transaction was entered September 7th, 2004 (See App B pg 3). This transaction comes six months after the initial March 11th, 2004 child support hearing, upon which the respondent, Ms. Brown, was awarded 'temporary child support'. Furthermore, the records from the District of Columbia Office of the Attorney General, Child Support Division, revealed that the case number, S-108-04 was immediately transferred to that agency for collection.

The omission of the docket entry items brings the magistrate's court order into scrutiny based on the Civil Rules of procedure regarding the administration of orders issued by the court. Furthermore, it calls into question the activity of the court and the Office of the Attorney General's procedures with respect to child support and collections.

The petitioner asks this court to take note of the opening remarks of the transcript of the child support hearing (See App E, pg 1-2). Clearly the clerk is present by asking for all parties to identify themselves for the record. In addition, the transcript reveals that there should have been docketed items prior to the March 11, 2004 hearing date. One of docketed entries should have been the initial complaint filed by the plaintiff, Ms. Brown, on January 28th, 2004 and the response to that filing by the respondent, Mr. Gyamfi on February 10th, 2004. The petitioner's counselor, Jerry Hunter, Esq. clearly stated that we "have extensive discovery..that has yet to [be seen]" (See App. E, pg 3).

In addition, the petitioner questioned the validity of the order soon after the order and documents were mailed to his home. The Child Support order mailed to the petitioner, dated March 12th, 2004, instructed him to ".. direct future correspondence to the attorney"; Diedra L. Burton-Davis. The order gave the impression that Ms. Diedra L. Burton-Davis was an attorney that worked for Corporate Counsel[4], as both Corporate Counsel and the attorney were listed on the order in two separate columns. The petitioner, Mr. Gyamfi, did make attempts to address his questions and concerns to the addresses listed on the order but was not successful. The name and address on the order was bogus as the petitioner's correspondences were mailed back stamped "RETURN TO SENDER, INSUFFICIENT ADDRESS". The petitioner continued to pursue the issue by contacting the Corporate Counsel's office. There he was advised that there was no attorney on staff by the name of "'Diedra L. Burton-Davis" and furthermore, they never had a client by the name of "Janet Brown". Frustrated the petitioner abandoned this issue and refocused his energy on the upcoming trial.

However, the defendant's counselor, Diedra L. McEachern, raised this issue before the trial court during the custody hearing on January 24th, 2005 on cross examination. Mr. Gyamfi advised counsel on cross examination that he was unaware of the name on the order in stating; "I thought it was you, but it wasn't you, it was a completely different name" (See App E pg 4). The counselor stated "it was me... Diedra L. Burton-Davis" listed on the child support order. (See App E, pg 4) Attorney McEachern further proceeded to

[4] Corporate Counsel was changed to the District of Columbia Attorney General Office, Child Support Division.

ask the petitioner, Mr. Gyamfi, his reasons for pursuing the issue of the child support order upon which he stated;

> "I asked the question because I did not recognize the name, Diedra Burton-Davis. Counsel [Jerry Hunter, petitioner's counselor at the time] and I had always communicated with Eileen Oliver. When the magistrate sent mailing to my house, it had Corporation Counsel. Every lawyer that I talked to was confused as to why Corporation Counsel was listed on that document that I was sharing with them and felt that it was something that I needed to investigate" (See App E, pg 5-6)

Attorney McEachern, further asked the court to certify theie exhibit #14 on the record, which was the letter the petitioner, Mr. Gyamfi, addressed to the Attorney, "Diedra Burton-Davis and Corporate Counsel" (See App E., pg 6). The letter was certified in its entirety, dated June 22nd, 2004 which clearly indicated that the petitioner, Mr. Gyamfi, at that time was under the impression that the order issued by the magistrate was an official order coming from Corporate Counsel with the name and address of the attorney upon which the petitioner was instructed to address his issues and "correspondences" to attorney, Diedra L. Burton-Davis(See App F). Four years removed and eight docketed cases, the petitioner, Mr Gyamfi, can clearly review the child support order and with absolute certainty advise this court that it is a bogus and fraudulent document with a spurious name and address. There is no reason why an official document from the court would instruct a litigant to correspond with the opposition attorney regarding child support matters.

The petitioner asks this court to take into consideration the matter of *Arthur Andersen vs. United States, (04-368) 544 U.S. 696 (2005) 374 F.3d. 281,* whereas this court recognized that "off-balance sheet" activities during the Enron investigation were illegal and properly investigated. These investigations led to the discovery of "raptors" that were used to hide financial debt from investors and auditors. If this court follows the logic of the petitioner and apply basic GAAP principles to the "docket sheet" abnormalities and omissions, then this may provide the court with some insight into why the petitioner has asks this court for its review and intervention.

In closing and summary on this issue, the child support court never entered this case on the docket of the court. Neither did the intake staff once the plaintiff, Ms. Brown, filed her initial complaint. The first transaction on the docket sheet is September 7, 2004, the entry on the sheet is the defendant's counselor, Diedra L. McEachern who filed a discovery certificate (See App B, pg 3) Hence, if the petitioner, Mr. Kwame Gyamfi, would not have asserted his claim for custody of the minor child and forced the court to consolidate and review of this mater, it is possible that this case would have never been on the books of the District of Columbia Superior Court Domestic Relations[3], but would rather have only been listed in the D.C. Office of the Attorney General, Child Support Division.

[3] Before the child support hearing dated 3/11/2004, the petitioner filed a counter claim dated 2/10/2004 for custody and later motioned that the Superior Court consolidate the custody matter with the child support case. The Superior Court granted this motion and heard testimony on the issue on January of 2005.

Child Custody Case - Audit #04-DRB-1036

The second revelation of the audit revealed that the Superior Court's final order dated July 7, 2006 comes over 500 days after the final trial date of January 27, 2005[6]. This action of the court is in direct conflict with the state's D.C. Code §11-1104(b), which mandates the permanent placement of a child shall commence as quickly as possible. This notion of urgency in domestic proceedings is common knowledge amongst justices in their appreciation of the sensitive issues related in domestic relations cases. In *Lassiter v. Dept of Social Services 1981 452 U.S. 18, 101 S.Ct. 2153, 68 L.Ed.2d 640*, this court stated that "child custody litigation must be concluded as rapidly as is consistent with fairness..and to protect litigants against unpredictable and unchecked adverse governmental action".

There are quite a number of transactions and pleadings on the docket sheet involving the custody proceedings of this case. The appendix reveals up to 27 pages of docketed transactions (See App C). If this court recognizes that unnecessary trial proceedings impugned the integrity of the family as this court found in *Michael H v. Gerald D., 491 U.S. 110 (1989)* then this court must recognize that the actions of the lower court truly violated the substantive due process of this family's 5th Amendment right of due process. The use of the court powers to antagonize the family to invoke a 'break up', indirectly is truly a violation of the due process of the constitution. In short, family law was never intended to force a break up of a functional family unit. Instead, the family law rules and procedures are to protect the sanctity of the family.

[6] The Petitioner filed a SCR Dom 52(c) Praecipe on February 22nd, 2006 to "compel the trial judge to enter a final order" after waiting over a year for a final order.

In closing on this issue, the petitioner asserts that the merit brief will reveal to this court concerning actions of the lower courts rulings and procedures on the occasion of the January 10-11, 2007 hearings (See App C, pg 11) and the November 11, 2007 order (See App C, pg 1). Hence, the petitioner asserts that these items represent the "shockingly" bias and willingness of the court to abuse its discretion in order to intimidate this litigant to submit to her will and abandon their legal right to be heard in a court of law, even to the detriment of forcing a "break up" of a family unit.

Court of Appeals Case Audit #06-FM-933

The final revelation in auditing the D.C. Court of Appeals docket sheets revealed several issues including omissions, timing issues and questionable orders. Much of these issues have already been presented in the 'Writ of Certiorari'. Therefore, the petitioner ask this court to consider items 3/27/2007 on page 3 in appendix D, whereas the D.C. Court of Appeals sent out 'Notices' instructing the litigants to withdraw their counselors. This is documented in petitioner's Writ of Certiorari therefore he only brings it to this courts attention again because a second notice that was mailed on April 11, 2007 with the brief and appendices with specific instructions to have the attorney of record withdraw from the case. This comes as the petitioner sent a reply in early April, 2007 advising the court staff that the March 27th, 2007, 'notice' was sent in error and to consider the time limitations on this case. The court then sent a second notice along with all of the appendices and brief with specific instructions to have "[*attorney name*, Ardelia Davis]" withdraw from the case. This second notice is

missing from the Docket Sheet. Finally, the most important item on the appellant docket sheet is found on page 9, dated 12/03/2007 under 07-FM-1119. This item is the petitioner's 'Petition for Adoption of Minor' child. This was filed based on the derived pleadings of this case. The petitioner filed this petition based on the 'Child's Best Interest' statute that protects the family from future frivolous court proceedings.

U.S.C. Title 42, Section § 1983

The history of Title 42 Section § 1983 is a necessary baseline in review of this petition. The petitioner and his family are seeking 'Federal Protection' from the actions of the District of Columbia State court, as the petitioner is unable to "enforce his civil rights" a State Supreme Court (District of Columbia).

Therefore, this supplemental brief provides a baseline of facts that support neglect and disregard for the civil rights of the petitioner and his family. The actions of the court are not new given the history of Title 42 section § 1983. The legislatures recognized that the 'Invisible Empire', known as the KKK, conspired to deny the freedman their newly found constitutional rights during the reconstruction era of this nation's history. Known as the 'Ku Klux Klan Act of 1871' during the post civil war era, in the modern era, Title 42 Section § 1983 provides an opportunity for the federal courts to intervene and redress any citizens denied their constitutional rights by state actors acting 'under the color of state law'. The greatness in the law is its transparency and applications in the modern era. This court surely must recognize that the 'Invisible Empire' still exist today. However, members are not hooded knight riders that have infiltrated the state agencies and judiciaries, rather, members of this group

are from every class, race, religion and gender known today as the new KKK of Korruption, Kronyism and Kolluders. As like the 1870's while hearings were being addressed with regard to the 'Invisible Empire', in the modern era, the 'Invisible Empire' is a significant threat to this nation's way of life. The petitioner doesn't invoke this title lightly, as he recognizes under *Rochin v. California, 342 U.S. 165 (1952)*, that mere allegations doesn't necessarily "shock the conscience" of federal judges in their consideration of § 1983 alleged violations. However, the petitioner asks this court to consider the *Stanley Milgram*[7] experiment in review of this petitioner's request. Frankly, it is possible that the federal court may not see the urgency of this matter, given the enormous case load and worldly issues that flood the court. However, as like the *Milgram* experiment, state agents and actors have very little 'conscience' when dealing with the livelihood of other people as long as the lower court continues to provide the authorization, whether legitimate or not. The petitioner has already spent over $130,000 in defense of this case and continues to fight this case, as this supplemental brief bear witness.

The petitioner asserts that there is no doubt that the March, 2004 child support hearing was based on fraud and deception. The documents mailed from the Court were spurious and fraudulent. Again, if the petitioner would have angrily walked away out of disgust with the "system", then this scheme would have never been challenged. Instead, this issue was

[7] The Milgram experiment was a series of seminal social psychology experiments conducted by Yale University psychologist Stanley Milgram, which measured the willingness of study participants to obey an authority figure who instructed them to perform acts that conflicted with their personal conscience.

challenged and thoroughly documented therefore, the petitioner asks this court to invoke its judicial and federal powers to consent to the petitioner's writ and assume jurisdiction of the case for review and remand in favor of the petitioner.

In closing, the petitioner asserts the following authority that best states his position on these issues, which comes from the federal courts of the District of Columbia in the matter of *United States v. Seigel, 168 F.2d 143 (D.C. Cir. 1948).* "Justice cannot be administered in chaos...what is done in one case must be done in all"

CONCLUSION



Date: 1/28/08

O. Kwame Gyamfi
905 Jackson Valley Ct
Bowie, MD 20721
(202)997-0941

APPENDIX A
U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Division, Confirmation Letter

U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Division

Telephone (202) 514-3311
Washington, D.C. 20530

January 11, 2008

Mr. Kwame Gyamfi
905 Jackson Valley Ct.,
Bowie, MD 20721

Re: Kwame Gyamfi v. Janet Brown, Sup. Ct. No-07-809; D.C. Ct. App. No. 07-FM-289

Dear Professor Gyamfi:

This letter will confirm the information I previously sent you by email. In my email of January 8, 2008, I advised you:

This Office has consulted with the Office of the Solicitor General and ascertained that a copy of your petition for a writ of certiorari with the various appendices and certificate of service was received by that office. An Attorney of this office also checked with the clerk's office in the D.C.

Court of Appeals (Telephone: (202)879-2700), and was advised there is no indication on the docket sheet for your case that the court of appeals has ever issued any certification in response to your Rule 44 notice. Since the government is not a party to this case, you should not anticipate any filings from us in the Supreme Court or the court of appeals.

In response to your subsequent email, I replied:

As I advised you, the government is not a party to this case, and you should not anticipate any filings from the Government in the Supreme Court. If you wish to send your filings to the Government, they should be served upon the Office of the Solicitor General.

I am sorry we cannot be of further assistance to you.

Sincerely,

Robert E. Kopp
Director, Appellate Staff
Civil Division

APPENDIX B
Docket Sheet
Child Support Case
#2004-SUP-108

DOCKET SHEET
FOR CHILD SUPPORT CASE
2004-SUP-000108
BROWN, JANET Vs GYAMFI, KWAME

Docket Date	Reference Description
11/26/2007	Order Signed and Filed November 21, 2007. ORDERED that the plaintiff's request for modification of the July 7th, 2006 Memorandum and Custody Order (child support only) be hereby is DENIED. It is further ORDERED that a judgment against the plaintiff, Kwame Gyamfi, is hereby entered in the amount of $19,801.87. It is further ORDERED that a judgment against the plaintiff, Kwame Gyamfi, is hereby entered I the amount of $2,832.78. It is further ORDERED that the defendant's Request to Require Plaintiff to Pay Additional Attorney Fees for the filing of a Frivolous Motion be and hereby is GRANTED. On or before January 2, 2008, the plaintiff shall pay directly to Deidra L. McEachern, Esquire, 1453-B Pennsylvania Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20003, the sum of $1,200.00 as attorney fees and costs. It is further ORDERED that the plaintiff's Motion to Compell (sic) Enforcement of Discovery Request (sic) for Documents &

	Interrogatories, and Motion, filed October 18, 2007 are hereby DENIED.
9/26/2007	Order Entered on Docket
8/29/2007	Order Signed, filed, and Entered on Docket. ORDERED that the Motion for Stay Pending Appeal, and Motion to Vacate Order to Pay Attorney fees are hereby DENIED. It is further ORDERED that, on or before September 17, 2007, defendant's counsel shall file an affidavit of attorney fees and costs related to the filing of the Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate Order to Pay Attorney Fees and Request to Require Plaintiff to Pay Additional Attorney Fees for the filing of a Frivolous Motion. The plaintiff shall file any objection or response to the affidavit on or before October 1, 2007. It is further ORDERED that all parties shall promptly appear before the court on October 10, 2007, at 2:00 p.m., for an evidentiary hearing upon the plaintiff's request for modification of the July 7, 2006 Memorandum and Custody Order (child support only), the defendant's Counter Motion to Secure Back Payment of Child Support, and the defendant's Request to Require plaintiff to Pay Additional Attorney Fees for the Filing of a Frivolous Motion. It is Further ORDERED that the Motion to Withdraw as Counsel be and hereby GRANTED. The appearance of Ardelia Davis, Esquire

	on behalf of the plaintiff is hereby deemed withdrawn.
12/29/2006	Case Transferred To: The Judge was Changed from HARNETT, ANDREA L to MELENDEZ, AIDA
7/7/2006	Order Signed, Filed and Entered on Docket.. *(See Petitioner's Writ of Certiorari Appendix B 'Final Order from Trial Court)*
2/22/2006	Praecipe Filed:
12/28/2005	Case Transferred To: The Judge was changed from DIAZ, PAMELA Y to HARNETT, ANDREA
12/28/2005	Case Transferred To: The Judge was changed from HARNETT, ANDREA L. to DIAZ, PAMELA.
12/28/2005	Case Transferred To: The Judge was changed from DIAZ, PAMELA Y to HARNETT, ANDREA
10/4/2004	Praecipe to Change Address of the Attorney
9/7/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery Filed. Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mr. DEIDRA L (418572)

APPENDIX C
Docket Sheet
Domestic Relations Custody Case
2004-DRB-1036

DOCKET SHEET
FOR CHILD CUSTODY CASE
2004-DRB-001036
GYAMFI, KWAME Vs. BROWN, JANET

Docket Date	Reference Description
12/31/2007	Case Transferred To: The Judge was changed from VINCENT, ODESSA F to MCKENNA, JULIET.
11/26/2007	Order Signed and Filed November 21, 2007. ORDERED that the plaintiff's request for modification of the July 7th, 2006 Memorandum and Custody Order (child support only) be hereby is DENIED. It is further ORDERED that a judgment against the plaintiff, Kwame Gyamfi, is hereby entered in the amount of $19,801.87. It is further ORDERED that a judgment against the plaintiff, Kwame Gyamfi, is hereby entered I the amount of $2,832.78. It is further ORDERED that the defendant's Request to Require Plaintiff to Pay Additional Attorney Fees for the filing of a Frivolous Motion be and hereby is GRANTED. On or before January 2, 2008, the plaintiff shall pay directly to Deidra L. McEachern, Esquire, 1453-B Pennsylvania Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20003, the sum of $1,200.00 as attorney fees and costs. It is further ORDERED that the plaintiff's Motion to Compell (sic) Enforcement of Discovery Request (sic) for Documents & Interrogatories, and Motion, filed October 18, 2007 are hereby DENIED.
11/26/2007	Order Signed and Filed November 21, 2007.

	(See above reference, data entered twice on the docket sheet)
10/30/2007	Praecipe Filed by attorney McEachern
10/30/2007	Event Resulted: The Following event: Evidentiary Hearing scheduled for 10/30/2007 at 2:00 p.m. has been resulted as follows: Results: Hearing Held and Completed. Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104 ATTORNEY MCEACHERN AND DEFENDANT PRESENT. TESTIMONY TAKEN. EVIDENTIARY HEARING COMPLETED. NO FURTHER DATE SET.
10/29/2007	Motion to Compel Discovery Filed Attorney: PRO SE (999999) KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Receipt 76919 Date: 10/29/2007
10/19/2007	Request for Production of Documents Filed
10/19/2007	Interrogatory filed –Plaintiff's first set of Interrogatories
10/19/2007	Certificate of Discovery Filed
10/18/2007	Motion for Sanctions Filed Attorney: PRO SE (999999) Receipt: 79047 Date: 10/18/2007
10/17/2007	ENTER AN ERROR Motion for Sanctions Filed – Motion Requesting Sanctions For Violations of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) Rule 26(a) and (2) Attorney: PRO SE (999999) Receipt: 78993 Date: 10/17/2007.
10/17/2007	Notices Mailed to Parties Ms ARDELIA L DAVIS (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF)
10/16/2007	Notices Mailed to Parties Notice of Hearing Date-Domestic Sent on:

	10/16/2007 16:36:07
10/16/2007	Notice Generated. Ms. ARDELIA DAVIS L DAVIS (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF) Notice of Hearing Date-Domestic Sent on: 10/16/2007 14:51:57 Ms. ARDELIA L DAVIS (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF)
10/15/2007	Notice of Deficient Pleading Mailed KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF);
10/12/2007	Notices Mailed to Parties Notice of Hearing Date-Domestic Sent on: 10/12/2007 13:51:51
10/12/2007	Event Scheduled: Event: Evidentiary Hearing Date: 10/30/2007 Time: 2:00 p.m. Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104
10/12/2007	Result: Hearing Held and Completed Event Resulted: The Following event: Evidentiary Hearing scheduled for 11/30/2007 at 2:00 p.m. has been rescheduled as follows: Result: Hearing Vacated Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104
10/12/2007	Order Entered on Docket ORDERED that the plaintiff's motion "Pursuant upon D.C. Dom. Rel Rule 63(b)(1,2) the plaintiff Motion that the Court be recused from this Case Based on the Federal Statute of 28 U.S.C. 455(b) and Rule 63(2) – Respectfully; - Ex Parte Communication', 'Conflict of Interest' and 'Bias and Prejudice', Filed October 1, 2007, and the 'Default Judgment on Dom. Rel.

Rule 63 Motion Currently Pending before the Court and Recurring Violations of ABA Judicial Code of Conduct Rule 2.9 –Ex Parte Communication' filed October 10, 2007, are hereby DENIED. It is further ORDERED that all parties shall promptly appear before the Court on October 30, 2007, at 2:00 p.m., for an evidentiary hearing on the plaintiff's request for modification of the July 7, 2006 Memorandum and Order, only as it relates to the issue of child support; defendant's Counter Motion to Secure Back Payment of Child Support; and Defendant's Request to Require Plaintiff to Pay Additional Attorney Fees for filing of a Frivolous Motion. Absent leave of the court, in the event the plaintiff should fail to appear before the Court on October 30, 2007, at 2:00 p.m., the Court will proceed with the evidentiary hearing in the plaintiff's absence. In the event the plaintiff does appear before the Court on October 30, 2007, at 2:00 p.m. for the evidentiary hearing, the plaintiff shall be fully prepared to participate in the evidentiary hearing,

10/12/2007 *(See above ref. Court entered order twice into the docket)*

10/12/2007 Case jacket received from branch on 10/2/07. Notice of Appeal filed on 10/1/07. Notice mailed to parties on 10/12/07. Preliminary package sent to DCCA (District of Columbia Court of Appeals) on 10/12/07.

10/11/2007 Notices Mailed to Parties

10/11/2007 Notice of Hearing Date-Domestic
Sent on 10/11/2007 16:58:18
Event Scheduled: ENTERED IN ERR
Event: Evidentiary Hearing

	Date: 11/30/2007 Time: 2:00 p.m. Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104
10/11/2007	Result: Hearing Vacate Event Resulted: The following event: Evidentiary Hearing scheduled for 10/11/2007 at 2:30 p.m. has been resulted as follows: EVIDENTIARY HEARING IS SET FOR NOVEMBER 30, 2007 @ 2:00 PM. Result: Hearing Held and Completed Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104 JANET BROWN(Defendant); Mrs. DEIDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant); Judge ODESS F VINCENT
10/11/2007	Return of Service Subpoena Filed (Tabitha Liddiard)
10/10/2007	Default Judgment on Dom. Rel. Rule 63 Motion Currently Pending before the Court and Recurring Violations of ABA Judicial Code of Conduct Rule 2.9 Ex Parte Communication Filed KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF)
10/9/2007	Writ of Mandamus Filed (Via Mail) The Matter Before The Honorable Judge Odessa Vincent on the Issue of Dom. Rel. Rule 63 Attorney: PRO SE (999999)KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF)
10/9/2007	Order Entered on Docket ORDERED that, no later than 3:00 p.m., on or before October 10, 2007, the defendant shall file a response to the Plaintiff's "Pursuant upon D.C. Dom. Rel Rule 63(b)(1,2) the plaintiff Motion that the Court

	be recused from this case Based on the Federal Statute of 28 U.S.C. 455(b) and Rule 63(2), Respectfully – 'Ex Parte Communication', 'Conflict of Interest' and 'Bias and Prejudice'.
10/9/2007	*(See above ref. Court entered order twice into the docket)*
10/9/2007	Subpoena Filed (Drayton, Drayton & Lamar) JANET BROWN (Defendant)
10/5/2007	Subpoena filed (MELTERS INDUSTRIES) JANET BROWN (Defendant)
10/5/2007	Subpoena Filed (MARGARET JAMES INDYNE INC) JANET BROWN (Defendant)
10/5/2007	Subpoena Filed (BRIAN MCLAUGHLIN HUMAN RESOURCE) JANET BROWN (Defendant)
10/2/2007	Letter Re: Appearance was withdrawn Filed Attorney: KUDER, Mr. ARMIN U (25403A)
10/2/2007	Jacket FWD TO APPEALS
10/1/2007	Motion to Recuse Filed Attorney: PRO SE (999999) KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Receipt: 77979 Date: 10/01/2007
10/1/2007	Notice of Appeal Filed KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Receipt: 77977 Date: 10/01/2007
9/28/2007	Notice Mailed to Parties KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); JANET BROWN (Defendant); Ms. ARDELIA DAVIS (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Mrs DEIDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of

	JANET BROWN (Defendant)
9/27/2007	Notices Mailed to Parties
9/27/2007	Notice of Hearing Date-Domestic Sent on: 9/27/2007 14:01:12 Event Scheduled: Event: Evidentiary Hearing Date: 10/11/2007 Time: 2:30 pm Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104
9/27/2007	Result: Hearing Held and Completed Event Rescheduled. The following event: Evidentiary Hearing scheduled for 10/10/2007 at 2:00 pm has been resulted as follows:
9/27/2007	Result: Hearing Vacated Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104 Order Entered on Docket. ORDERED that the evidentiary hearing scheduled for October 10, 2007 be and hereby is VACATED. All parties shall promptly appear before the Court on October 11, 2007, at 2:30 p.m., for an evidentiary hearing.
9/27/2007	Order signed and filed September 26, 2007. ORDERED that the evidentiary hearing scheduled for October 10, 2007, be and hereby is VACATED. All parties shall promptly appear before the Court on October 11, 2007, at 2:30 p.m., for an evidentiary hearing.
9/17/2007	Affidavit of Defendant's Counsel
9/12/2007	Jacket FWD to 10/10/07 Hearing Drawer
9/5/2007	Notices Mailed to Parties KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); JANET BROWN (Defendant); ; Ms ARDELIA DAVIS (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Mr.

ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Mrs. DEIDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant)

8/29/2007 Notices Mailed to Parties

8/29/2007 Notice of Hearing-Date-Domestic
Sent on: 08/29/2007 16:18:17
Event Scheduled:
Event: Evidentiary Hearing
Date: 10/10/2007 Time: 2:00 p.m.
Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F
Location: Courtroom 104

8/29/2007 Result: Hearing Vacated
Order Entered on Docket: ORDERED that the Motion for Stay Pending Appeal, and Motion to Vacate Order to Pay Attorney Fees are hereby DENIED. It is further ORDERED that, on or before September 17, 2007, defendant's counsel shall file an affidavit of attorney fees and costs related to the filing of the Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate Order to Pay Attorney Fees and Request to Require Plaintiff to Pay additional Attorney Fees for the Filing of a Frivolous Motion. The plaintiff shall file any objection or response to the affidavit on or before October 1, 2007. It is Further ORDERED that all parties shall promptly appear before the court on October 10, 2007 at 2:00 p.m., for an evidentiary hearing upon the plaintiff's request for modification of the July 7, 2006 Memorandum and Custody Order (Child Support Only), the defendant's Counter Motion to Secure Back Payment of Child Support, and the defendant's Request to Require Plaintiff to Pay Additional

	Attorney Fees for the Filing of a Frivolous Motion. It is further ORDERED that the Motion to Withdraw as Counsel be and hereby GRANTED. The appearance of Ardelia Davis, Esquire on behalf of the plaintiff is hereby deemed withdrawn.
8/29/2007	*(See above ref. Court entered order twice into the docket)*
8/14/2007	Writ of Mandamus in the Matter Before the Honorable Judge Odessa Vincent Filed.
7/25/2007	Motion of Leave to Withdraw as Counsel Filed. Attorney: DAVIS, ARDELIA B (449203) KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Receipt: 73669 Date: 07/25/2007
5/31/2007	Defendant's Response to Motion To Stay Child Support and Counter Motion to Secure Back Payment of Child Support Filed JANET BROWN (Defendant)
5/10/2007	Case Jacket Received From Branch appeals (__1____vols.)
5/8/2007	Motion for Stay Pending Appeal Filed. Attorney: PRO SE (999999) KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF);
5/8/2007	Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate Order to pay Attorney Fees and Request to require Plaintiff to pay additional Attorney Fees for the filing of a Frivolous Motion Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572) JANET BROWN (Defendant)
4/26/2007	Motion to Vacate Order to Pay Attorney Fees Filed Attorney: PRO SE (999999)
4/26/2007	Motion to Vacate Order to Pay Attorney Fees Filed FILED IN ERROR

	Attorney: PRO SE (999999)
3/27/2007	Order Entered on Docket: ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion for Attorney Fees be and hereby GRANTED. On or before April 30, 2007, the plaintiff shall pay directly to Deidra L. McEachern, Esquire, 1453-B Pennsylvania Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20003, the sum of $2,832.78 as attorney fees and costs.
3/27/2007	*(See above ref. Court entered order twice into the docket)*
3/5/2007	Notice of Appeal Filed Receipt: 65040 Date: 03/05/2007
2/20/2007	Transcript Deposit OP Name: Janet Brown CN #: DOP: 1/27/05
2/20/2007	Transcript Deposit OP Name: Janet Brown CN #: DOP: 1/25/05
2/20/2007	Transcript Deposit OP Name: Janet Brown CN #: DOP: 1/26/05 Receipt: 72243 Date: 07/03/2007 Receipt: 72243 reversed by 72285 on 07/4/2007
2/17/2007	ENTER AN ERROR Motion to Extend Time Filed Attorney: BROWN, MS JANET A (433450)
2/16/2007	Notice of Appeal Filed
1/19/2007	Proposed Order Received & Filed Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572)
1/19/2007	Defendant's Motion for Attorney Fees Filed Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572) JANET BROWN (Defendant); Receipt: 62770

Date: 01/19/2007

1/17/2007 Order Entered on Docket
ORDERED that the motion to Extend Time to File a Motion to Reconsider, filed October 13, 2006, be and hereby DENIED, and the Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Oral Motion for Attorney Fees, filed October 13, 2006, be and hereby is DENIED

1/11/2007 Event Resulted:
The following event: Evidentiary hearing scheduled for 01/11/2007 at 10:30 am has been resulted as follows: HEARING COMPLETED. PARTIES REACH AN AGREEMENT ON THE PLAINTIFF'S PARENTING THE MINOR CHILD. COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT SHALL SUBMIT A WRITTEN ORDER TO THE COURT
Result: Hearing Held and Completed
Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F
Location: Courtroom 104
KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); JANET BROWN (Defendant); ; Ms ARDELIA L. DAVIS (Attorney) on Behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF) ; Mrs DEIDRA L. MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant); Judge ODESSA F VINCENT

1/10/2007 Event Scheduled:
Event: Evidentiary Hearing
Date: 1/11/2007 Time: 10:30 am
Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F
Location: Courtroom 104

1/10/2007 Result: Hearing Held and Completed
Event Resulted:
The following event: Evidentiary Hearing

	scheduled for 1/10/2007 at 2:00 pm has been resulted as follows: CASE IS CONTINUE FOR CLOSING ARGUMENT TO 1/11/2007 @ 10:30 AM. Result: Hearing Continued by the Court Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104 KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); JANET BROWN (Defendant);; Ms. ARDELIA L DAVIS (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Mrs. DEIDRA L. MCECHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant);; Judge ODESSA F VINCENT
1/8/2007	Order Entered on Docket. ORDERED that the Motion for Continuance or in the Alternative Conversion of the Evidentiary Hearing (sic) Hearing Scheduled for January 10th to a Status Hearing be and hereby is DENIED. It is further ORDERED that all parties shall promptly appear before the court on January 10, 2007, at 2:00 p.m., for an evidentiary hearing. The parties shall be fully prepared to proceed with an evidentiary hearing on the defendant's Motion for Protective Order of Minor Child, defendant's Motion for Order to Show Cause for Contempt, and the plaintiff's opposition thereto.
1/8/2007	*(See above ref. Court entered order twice into the docket)*
12/22/2006	Motion to Continuance Or In the Alternative Conversion of the Evidentiary Hearing Scheduled For January 10th, [2007] To A Status Hearing Filed Attorney: DAVIS, Ms. ARDELIA L (449203) Receipt

	Date: 12/22/2006
11/24/2006	Notices Mailed to Parties KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); JANET BROWN (Defendant); ; Ms. ARDELIA L. DAVIS (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff); Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff); Mrs. DEIDRA L. MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant)
11/20/2006	Notices Mailed to Parties
11/20/2006	Notice of Hearing Date-Domestic Sent on: 11/20/2006 19:05:00 Event Scheduled: Event: Evidentiary Hearing Date: 1/10/2007 Time: 2:00 pm Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104
11/20/2006	Result: Hearing Continued by the Court Event Resulted: The Following event: Status Hearing scheduled for 11/20/2006 at 12:15 pm has been resulted as follows: EVIDENTIARY HEARING IS SET FOR 1/10/07 @ 2:00 PM Result: Hearing Held and Completed Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104 JANET BROWN(Defendant); ; Mrs. DEIDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant); Judge ODESSA F VINCENT
11/6/2006	Jacket Received from DR Branch in Judge Vincent's chambers
10/16/2006	Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Reply To Motion For Protective Order and Motion and

	For Order To Show Cause For Contempt Filed
10/13/2006	Motion to Extend Time Filed Attorney: CONRAD, Mr. GLENN C (418578) Receipt: 58262 Date: 10/13/2006
10/13/2006	Motion for Reconsideration Defendant's Oral Motion For Attorney Fees Filed Attorney: CONRAD, Mr. GLENN C (418578) Receipt: 58262 Date: 10/13/2006
10/6/2006	Motion Denied (Motion for Order Regarding Defendant's Oral Motion for Attorney Fees and costs associated with Trial in this Case, filed July 21, 2006, be and hereby is DENIED.
9/29/2006	Notices Mailed to Parties KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF) ; JANET BROWN (Defendant) ; ; Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff); Mrs. DEIDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant)
9/22/2006	Event Scheduled: Event: Status Hearing Date: 11/20/2006 Time: 12:15 pm Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104
9/22/2006	Result: Hearing Held and Completed Notices Mailed to Parties
9/1/2006	Notice of Hearing Date-Domestic Sent on: 9/22/2006 12:59:21 Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion for a Protective Order and Show Cause for Contempt Filed
8/18/2006	Motion for Order to Show Cause for Contempt Filed Attorney: PRO SE (999999)

	JANET BROWN (Defendant); Receipts 55154 Date: 08/18/2006
8/18/2006	Motion for Protective Order of Minor Child Filed Attorney: PRO SE (999999) JANET BROWN (Defendant); Receipt: 55154 Date: 08/18/2006
8/4/2006	Jacket RECEIVED AND FWD TO APPEAL OFFICE
7/26/2006	Notice of Appeal Filed Receipt: 53721 Date: 07/26/2006
7/21/2006	Motion for Order Regarding Defendant's Oral Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Associated with Trial in this case filed Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572) Mrs. DEIDRA MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant) Receipt: 53520 Date: 07/21/2006
7/19/2006	Jacket Returned from P&S FWD to Bulk Files (At the second to last shelf next to review shelf)
7/17/2006	Jacket FWD to P&S
7/10/2006	Memorandum and Custody Order Filed
7/7/2006	Order Signed, Filed and Entered on Docket.. *(See Petitioner's Writ of Certiorari Appendix B 'Final Order from Trial Court)*
2/22/2006	Praecipe Filed Attorney: DAVIS, Ms. ARDELIA L (449203) * SCR DOM. REL. Rule 52(a) compelling trial court to enter final order
10/31/2005	Miscellaneous Document Filed (Copy of Deficent Pldg.)
10/31/2005	Notice of Deficient Pleading Mailed (A Motion to file an Amicus Brief was mailed in

	by someone not a party to the suit w/no payment)
10/14/2005	Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Response in Opposition of the Motion for Leave to File an Amicus Brief Filed Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572) JANET BROWN (Defendant);
9/30/2005	Opposition to Motion Filed – Plaintiff's Response in Opposition of the Motion For Leave to File an Amicus Brief KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); ; Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI
9/23/2005	Defendant's Rely To Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion For Attorney Fees Filed Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572)
9/9/2005	Notice of Appearance
9/9/2005	Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion for Attorney Fees
9/9/2005	Plaintiff's Motion to File Response to Defendant's Motion Out of Time Attorney: DAVIS, Ms. ARDELIA L (449203) Receipt: 36652 Date: 09/09/2005
8/24/2005	Motion for Attorney Fees Filed Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572) Receipt: 35719 Date: 08/24/2005
8/23/2005	Jacket to BULK shelf
8/18/2005	Motion to Modify Order Withdrawn Participant(s): Judge ODESSA F. VINCENT
8/18/2005	Order Entered on Docket – Exhibit Summary Sheet
8/18/2005	Event Resulted: The Following event: Motion Hearing scheduled for 08/18/2005 at 10:30 am has

been resulted as follows:
Result: Domestic Relations Held and Completed. Plaintiff, plaintiff's attorney, defendant and defendant's attorney present. Motion Hearing Held. Plaintiff's testimony, the plaintiff withdrew his Motion to Modify Child Support. Defendant's attorney may file for attorney fees. No Future Court Dates set.

8/9/2005 Motion For Leave to Withdraw Appearance of plaintiff's counsel Filed. Attorney: KUDER, ARMIN U (25403A) KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); ; Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff) Receipt: 34729 Date: 8/9/2005

8/9/2005 Order Entered on Docket. ORDERED that both the plaintiff and defendant shall immediately execute all necessary documents to facilitate the issuance of a passport for the minor child, Isaiah Gyamfi, born March 16, 1998. It is further ORDERED that neither the plaintiff nor defendant shall remove the minor child from the United States of America, without fourteen (14) days prior notice to the parent to remain in the U.S.A. The minor child, Isaiah Gyamfi, born March 16, 1998 must be returned to the U.S.A. within 30 days of his removal from the U.S.A.
It is FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff and defendant shall strictly adhere to the visitation schedule formally in place. It is FURTHER ORDERED that, within thirty-days (30) of the date of this pendent elite order, the plaintiff and defendant both shall obtain a cell phone for the minor child, and

	share equally in the cost of the acquisition of said cell phone. The minor child shall be allowed to the parent with whom he is not then residing via the cell phone.
8/9/2005	*(See above ref. Court entered order twice into the docket)*
8/5/2005	Case – Reviewed in DRB Clerks Office. Case returned to TC4 clerk
7/21/2005	Jacket forwarded to Hrg. Drawer
7/21/2005	Notice Mailed to Parties KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); JANET BROWN (Defendant); ; Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Mrs. DEIDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant)
7/20/2005	Event Schedule: Event: Motion Hearing Date: 8/18/2005 Time: 10:30 am Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104 Result: Domestic Relations Held and Completed
7/19/2005	Certificate Regarding Discovery Filed
7/15/2005	Event Resulted: The Following event: Status Hearing scheduled for 07/15/2005 at 3:00 pm has been resulted as follows: Result: Domestic Relations Held and Completed Motion Hearing Set for 8-18-05 @ 10:30 am
7/12/2005	Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition To Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Child Support Based Upon Substantial and Material Change of Circumstances Filed
6/17/2005	Motion to Modify Child Support Based Upon

	Substantial and Material Change in Circumstances Filed. Attorney: KUDER, Mr. ARMIN U (25403A) Receipt: 31647 Date: 06/17/2005
6/16/2005	Notice Mailed to Parties KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); JANET BROWN (Defendant); ; Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Mrs. DEIDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant)
6/10/2005	Jacket forwarded to Hrg. Drawer
6/10/2005	Event Scheduled: Event: Status Hearing Date: 07/15/2005 Time: 3:00 pm Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104
6/7/2005	Result: Hearing Vacated Order Entered on Docket vacating June 9, 2005 hearing and scheduling a status hearing and scheduling a status hearing for July 15, 2005 at 3:00 pm
6/7/2005	Order signed and Filed Vacating June 9, 2005 hearing and scheduling a status hearing for July 15, 2005 at 3:00 pm
5/26/2005	Notice Mailed to Parties KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); JANET BROWN (Defendant); ; Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Mrs. DIEDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant)
5/25/2005	Event Scheduled: Event: Status Hearing Date: 06/09/2005 Time: 3:00 pm Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F

	Location: Courtroom 104
5/24/2005	Order Entered on Docket (Scheduling Status hearing) ; cc KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF) ; JANET BROWN (Defendant); ; Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF); Mrs. DEIDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant)
5/24/2005	Order Signed and Filed SCHEDULING status hearing for June 9, 2005 @ 3:00 pm
5/18/2005	Supplemental And Correction to Defendant's Opposition plaintiff's Motion to Modify Child Support Based Upon Substantial and Material Change in circumstances.
5/16/2005	Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Child Support Based Upon and Material Change of Circumstances
5/5/2005	Motion to Modify Child Support Based Upon Substantial And Material Change of Circumstances Filed. Attorney: KUDER, ARMIN U (025403) Receipt: 28961 Date: 05/05/2005
4/18/2005	Defendant's Opposition and Reply to plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Temporary Time-Sharing Schedule MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572) JANET BROWN (Defendant)
4/18/2005	Defendant's Reply To Plaintiff's Opposition To Her Motion To Compel The Plaintiff To Remove the Block From His Phone and For Order Allowing Defendant To Obtain A Passport For The Parties Child Without The Consent Of The Plaintiff
4/6/2005	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to compel the plaintiff to remove the block

	from his phone and Order allowing Defendant to Obtain a Passport for the parties' child without the Consent of the Plaintiff filed Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (PLAINTIFF)
4/6/2005	Emergency Motion for Temporary Time-Sharing schedule Filed Attorney: KUDER, Mr. ARMIN U (25403A) Receipt: 27113 Date: 04/06/2005
3/22/2005	Defendant's Motion to Compel The Plaintiff To Remove The Block From His Phone and For Order Allowing Defendant To Obtain a Passport For the parties' Child without The Consent of the Plaintiff Filed Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572) JANET BROWN (Defendant) Receipt 26096 Date: 03/22/2005
3/1/2005	Certificate of Service Filed
2/22/2005	Defendant Supplemental to Trail Exhibits (Additional Attorney Fees) Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572)
2/18/2005	Defendant's Proposed Findings of Fact Filed
1/27/2005	Event Resulted: The Following event: Trial scheduled for 1/27/2005 at 9:00 am has been resulted as follows: Result: Commenced and Completed Finding of Facts and Affidavits for Attorney Fees are due by 2/18/05. Ruling to issue from chambers.
1/26/2005	Event: Commenced and Completed Event Resulted: The Following event: Trial

	Scheduled for 1/26/2005 at 10:00 am has been resulted as follows:
1/25/2005	Event: Commenced and Completed Event Resulted: The Following event: Trial Scheduled for 1/26/2005 at 10:00 am Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F. Location: Courtroom 104
1/25/2005	Event: Commenced and Completed Event Resulted: The Following event: Trial Scheduled for 1/25/2005 at 9:30 am has been resulted as follows:
1/24/2005	Event: Commenced and Completed Event Resulted: The Following event: Trial Scheduled for 1/25/2005 at 9:30 am Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104
1/24/2005	Event: Commenced and Completed Event Resulted: The Following event: Trial Scheduled for 01/24/2005 at 9:30 am has been resulted as follows:
1/18/2005	Supplemental to Defendant's Exhibit List Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572)
11/04/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery
10/15/2004	Notice Mailed to Parties. KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff); JANET BROWN (Defendant); Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on Behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff); Mrs. DEIDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant)
10/14/2004	Event Scheduled: Event: Trail

	Date: 01/24/2005 Time: 9:30 am Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104
10/14/2004	Result: Commenced and Continued Notice of Hearing Date Issued.
10/14/2004	Notice of Hearing Date-Domestic Sent on: 10/14/2004 13:19:06 Event Resulted: The following event: Pre-Trial Hearing scheduled for 10/14/2004 at 9:00 am has been resulted as follows: Result: Hearing Continued Trial scheduled for 1/24/05 @ 9:30 am KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff); Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff); Mrs. DEIDRA L MCEACHERN (Attorney) on behalf of JANET BROWN (Defendant); Judge ODESSA F. VINCENT
10/06/2004	Defendant Supplement To Pretrial Statement File Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572)
10/04/2004	Praecipe to Change Attorney's address Filed
10/04/2004	Defendant's Pretrial Statement Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572)
9/16/2004	Certificate of Discovery Filed
9/16/2004	Copies Mailed to Parties
9/15/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery Filed
9/14/2004	Order Entered on Docket (Denying Motion to Quash)
9/13/2004	Order Signed and Filed DENYING Motion to Quash.
9/10/2004	Opposition to Motion to Quash the Notice of Videotape Disposition of Joyce Andrews, MD or in the Alternative Motion to Appoint an Examiner for the Purpose of Taking

	Witness's Videotape Disposition Filed: Attorney: KUDER, Mr. ARMIN U (025403)
9/7/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery Filed Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572)
9/7/2004	Motion to Quash The Notice of Videotape Deposition of Joyce Andrews, MD Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572) Receipt: 15636 Date:9/07/2004
9/3/2004	Praecipe Filed Attorney: KUDER, Mr. ARMIN U (025403)
9/3/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery Filed
8/30/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery Filed Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff)
8/24/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery Filed Attorney: KUDER, Mr. ARMIN U (025403)
8/20/2004	Return of Service Filed for Subpoena Duces Tecum Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI
8/20/2004	Subpoena Issued Mr. ARMIN U KUDER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI
8/16/2004	Return of Service For Subpoena Filed Mr. ARMIN U KUDER(Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI
8/6/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery Filed
8/3/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery Filed Attorney: KUDER, Mr ARMIN U (025403) KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff)
7/30/2004	Subpoena Issued: To Clinic Audiologist/George Washington University. Attorney: PRO SE (------)
7/27/2004	Subpoena Issued: To Custodian of Records/ Hospital for Sick Children

7/27/2004	Subpoena Issued: To Custodian of Records/ The Childrens National Medical Center
7/27/2004	Subpoena Issued: To Custodian of Records University of Maryland
7/27/2004	Copies Mailed to Parties
7/27/2004	Order Entered on Docket (Pretrial Order)
7/23/2004	Certificate of Discovery Filed
7/22/2004	Pretrial Order signed and Filed setting discovery completion date of September 20, 2003; Completion of Joint Pretrial Statement by October 4, 2004; setting pretrial hearing for October 14, 2004 @ 9:00 am.
7/21/2004	Notice Mailed to Parties
7/20/2004	Event Scheduled: Event: Pre-Trial Hearing Date: 10/14/2004 Time: 9:00 am Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom 104
7/20/2004	Notice of Hearing Date-Domestic Sent on: 07/20/2004 16:09:48 Event Resulted: T The Following Event: Status Hearing Scheduled for 07/20/2004 at 10:00 am has been resulted as follows: Result: Domestic Relations Hearing Held JMJ, plaintiff and defendant were present with counsel. Discovery to be completed by 9/20/04. Pre-Trial statement to be completed by 10/4/04. Pre-Trial hearing is scheduled for 10/14/04 @ 9:00 am before Judge Vincent
7/15/2004	Submit to Judge: Vincent
7/12/2004	Praecipe to Enter Appearance on behalf of Pltf.
5/25/2004	Notice Mailed to Parties
5/24/2004	Event Schedule:

	Event: Status Hearing Date: 07/20/2004 Time: 10:00 am Judge: VINCENT, ODESSA F Location: Courtroom JM-J
5/24/2004	Result: Domestic Relations Hearing Held Notice of Hearing Date Issued.
5/24/2004	Notice of Hearing Date-Domestic Sent on: 05/24/2004 13:15:11 Copies Mailed to Parties
5/24/2004	Order Entered on Docket (Order granting motion to consolidate)
5/18/2004	Order Signed and Filed granting Plaintiff's motion to consolidate Cases and setting status hearing for July 20, 2004 @ 10:00 a.m.
5/4/2004	Praecipe to Enter Appearance/ Attorney Deidra L. McEachern Bar# 418572
5/4/2004	Answer Filed
5/4/2004	Opposition to Motion to Amend Filed
5/3/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572)
5/3/2004	Answer Filed Attorney: MCEACHERN, Mrs. DEIDRA L (418572)
4/21/2004	Submit to Judge: Vincent for review on a motion
4/20/2004	Notice of Deficient Pleading Mailed NOTICE SENT IN ERROR
4/16/2004	Return of Service Filed by Process Server Attorney: PRO SE
4/15/2004	Cross Reference Check Found Related Cases S-108-04
4/12/2004	Certificate Regarding Discovery Mr JERRY L. HUNTER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff)
4/12/2004	Motion to Consolidate Filed

	Attorney: PRO SE (-----) KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff); Mr. JERRY L HUNTER (Attorney) on behalf of KWAME GYAMFI (Plaintiff) Receipt: 6918 Date: 04/12/2004
4/12/2004	Summons Issued
4/12/2004	Complaint for Custody Filed (Entered Twice in Error)
4/12/2004	Complaint for Custody Filed Receipt: 6918 Date: 04/12/2004

APPENDIX D
Docket Sheet
District of Columbia Court of Appeals
Case #06FM933

DOCKET SHEET
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
COURT OF APPEALS
CASE NUMBER 06-FM-000933
GYAMFI, KWAME Vs. BROWN,JANET

Docket Date	Reference Description
7/26/2006	Notice of Appeal/ DMITCHELL
8/15/2006	Statement Regarding Transcript(s) (RT ordered/needed – dlm) 1/24/05 – tape 1/25/05 – tape 1/26/05 – tape 1/27/05 – tape AVAN
8/23/2006	On Consideration of the notice of appeal filed in this case on July 26, 2006, and the statement regarding transcript, it is ORDERED that a briefing order will be issued upon the filing in his court, by the clerk of the Superior Court, of the record index and transcripts in accordance with D.C. App. R 11 (b)(3)(A). (GP) DMILES
11/30/2006	Supplemental Record - #1 (243pgs/Tape) 1/24/05 proc. PMCMILLAN
11/30/2006	Record Index PMCMILLAN
11/30/2006	Supplemental Record - #2 (138pgs/Tape) 1/25/05 proc. PMCMILLAN
11/30/2006	Supplemental Record - #3 (123pgs/Tape) 1/26/05 proc. PMCMILLAN
11/30/2006	Supplemental Record - #4 (177pgs/Tape) 1/27/05 proc. PMCMILLAN
11/30/2005	Supplemental Record - #5 (one brown envelope containing exhibits) PMCMILLAN

11/30/2006	Records Completed DMILES
12/06/2006	It appearing that the complete record on appeal has been filed with this court, it is ORDERED that appellant's brief and the appendix including the documents required by D.C. App. R. 30(a)(1), shall be filed within 40 days from the date of this order, and appellee's brief shall be filed within 30 days thereafter. See D.C. App. R. 31.(GP) DMILES
1/16/2007	Appellant's Motion to Extend Time to File Brief for 15 days (no oppo) GRANTED 1/19/2007 DMITCHELL
1/19/2007	On consideration of appellant's motion for an extension of time within which to file the brief, to which no opposition has been filed, it is ORDERED that the motion is granted and appellant's brief and appendix shall be filed on or before February 1, 2007. (GP) DMILES
1/29/2007	Appellee's Motion for Reconsideration – aplt's motion for a 15 day extension of time to file brief GRANTED 2/23/2007 AVAN
2/01/2007	Received – aplt's brief (aplt has attorney) (a.j) DMITCHELL
2/01/2007	Received – aplt's appendix (aplt has an attorney) DMITCHELL
2/08/2007	Appellant's Opposition to Aple's motion for reconsideration DMITHCELL
2/23/2007	On consideration of appellee's motion to reconsider this court's order of January 19, 2007, granting appellant's motion for an extension of time to file the brief, and the opposition thereto, and it appearing that

	appellant's brief has already been filed with this court, it is ORDERED that appellee's motion is denied as moot. It is F/O that appellee's brief shall be filed within 30 days from the date of this order. (ETW) Vacated 4/11/2007 DMILES
3/23/2007	RECEIVED – aple's brief Aple has an attorney AVAN
3/27/2007	NOTICE SENT – to aplt re: brief recvd on 2/1/07 either attorney file brief or file motion to withdraw AVAN
3/27/2007	NOTICE SENT – to aple re: brief recvd on 3/23/07 aple has an attorney, either attorney file brief of file motion to withdraw AVAN
4/04/2007	Amicus Curiae Motion for Leave to File Brief DENIED 4/11/2007 AVAN
4/04/2007	RECEIVED – amicus curiae brief AVAN
4/11/2007	Ordered that the Motion of Elliot R. Brown for leave to file has lodged brief as amicus curiae is DENIED It is **more** LWILLIAMS
4/11/2007	FURTHR ORDERED that this court's February 23, 2007 ORDER is HEREBY VACATED . IT is **more**
4/11/2007	FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for appellant shall, within 30 days from the date of this order, file his brief and the joint appendix including the documents required by D.C. App. R. 30 (a)(1). Counsel for appellee shall file her brief 30 days thereafter. Failure to comply with this order will result in this appeal being

	dismissed without further notice. (FAGLTE) (LWILLIAMS)
4/13/2007	Counsel's Motion to withdraw for Business Reasons (aplt) GRANTED 4/26/2007
4/17/2007	Counsel's Motion to withdraw for Business Reasons (for appellee) (no oppo) GRANTED 4/26/2007 DMITCHELL
4/26/2007	On consideration of the motion of counsel for appellant and appellee to withdraw their appearances as counsel of record, and the notice of appeal filed in appeal no. 07-FS-289, and it appearing that no transcript is needed for appeal no. 07-FM-289, it is ORDERED that the motions of counsel for appellant and appellee are granted and the appearances of Ardelia Davis, Esq., and Deidra L. McEachern, are hereby withdrawn as counsel of record, and both appellant and appellee shall proceed pro se. it is (MORE) (DMILES)
4/26/2007	F/O, sua sponte, that appeals nos. 06-FM-933, 07-FM-289 are consolidated for all purposes herein. It is F/O that, in appeal no. 07-FM-289, the clerk of the Superior Court shall transmit the record index to this court forthwith. IT is (MORE) DMILS
4/26/2007	F/Ordered that appellant's brief and the appendices including the documents required by D.C. App. R. 30 (a)(1), shall be filed within 40 days from the date of this order, and appellees' briefs shall be filed within 30 days thereafter. See D.C. App. R. 31 (ETW) DMILE
5/09/2007	Record Index in 07-FM-289 PBRADY
5/09/2007	Supplemental Record - #6 (Exhibit in large brown envelope) PBRADY

5/30/2007	Appellant's Motion to Extend Time to File Brief 14 days (Gyamfi) GRANTED 6/14/2007 AVAN
6/14/2007	On consideration of appellant Kwame Gyamfi's motion for an extension of time within which to file the brief, to which no opposition has been filed, and it appearing that appellant Janet Brown has failed to comply with this court's order of April 26, 2007, which directed her to file a brief with 40 days from the date of the order, it is ORDERED that appellant Kwame Gyamfi's motion is granted and appellant's brief and appendix shall be filed on or before June 19, 2007. It is FURTHER ORDERED that appellant, Janet Brown's brief and limited appendix shall be submitted within 20 days from the date of this order, accompanied by a motion for leave to file out of time. The motion should set forth good cause for the failure either to timely file the document or to request an extension of time within which to do so. (GP) JJORDAN
6/19/2007	Appellant's Brief (Gyamfi) (06FM933) DMITCHELL
6/19/2007	Apendix (Gyamfi) DMITCHELL
7/05/2007	Appellant's Motion for Leave to File Brief (Brown) (07-FM-289) GRANTED 7/26/2007 AVAN
7/06/2007	RECEIVED – aplt's brief (Brown) (07-FM-289) No appendix AVAN
7/17/2007	RECEIVED – aplt's appdx (Brown) (07-FM-289) PMCMILLAN
7/17/2007	Appellee's Brief w/appdx attached (06FM933) PMCMILLAN
7/18/2007	Appellee's Opposition to Aplt's Motion for

	Leave to file brief out of time (07-fm-289) DMITCHELL
7/25/2007	Appellant's Answer/Response – to aple's oppo to aplt's motion 07fm289 AVAN
7/26/2007	Order Granting aplt Motion for Leave to File Brief in appeal no. 07-FM-289, and the Clerk shall file the lodged brief and the limited appendix in appeal no. 07FM289. It is F/O that the brief of appellee Kwame Gyamfi shall be filed within 30 days from the date of this order (ETW) DMILES
7/26/2007	Appellant's Brief (07-FM-289) DMILES
7/26/2007	Appendix (07-FM-289) DMILES
7/26/2007	Letter – from (Gyamfi) re: service that not being done by (Brown) AVAN
7/26/2007	Amicus Curiae motion for leave to file brief DENIED 7/31/2007 AVAN
7/26/2007	RECEIVED – amicus curiae brief AVAN
7/31/2007	ORDERED that the Motion of Elliot R. Brown for leave to file his lodged brief as amicus curiae is DENIED It is *more* LWILLIAMS
7/31/2007	Further ORDERED that Kwame Gyamfi shall, within 30 days from the date of this order, file his brief in appeal 07-FM-289. Failure t file the brief will result in these appeals being submitted for consideration on the briefs as filed. (FAGLTE) LWILLIAMS
8/02/2007	Appellee's Motion for Reconsideration – and to Dismiss Appeal 07FM289 (filed by Gyamfi)lw DENIED 8/30/2007
8/07/2007	Aplt Motion to Extend Time to File Reply6 Brief (06-FM-933) GRANTED 8/30/2007 DMITCHELL
8/24/2007	RECEIVED – Appellant's Reply Brief

	(06FM933) (Title as: Supplemental Brief) DMITCHELL
8/27/2007	Miscellaneous – from Elliot Brown asking for clarification of denied m otion to come in as amicus DENIED 8/30/2007 AVAN
8/29/2007	Appellant's Notice of Challenge the Court to provide an explanation as to its actions and request for oral argument (Titled as: Notice of Challenge to the Constitutionality of an Act of Congress pursuant to Rule 44 (FRAP)). DMITCHEL
8/30/2007	ORDERED that the motion of Elliott R. Brown for Reconsideration of the order denying his motion for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae is DENIED. D.C. App. R. 29 provides that an amicus curiae may be permitted by the court to provide the court with a perspective on overarching issues related to the appeal and that an amicus curiae may not expand the issues on appeal.. see order **more* LWILLIAMS
8/30/2007	Further ORDERED that Gyamfi's Motion in appeal 06-FM-933 to DENY Counsel's Motion To Withdraw, Construed as a Motion to Reconsider the motion granting the motion to withdraw, is DENIED. It is Further ORDERED that Gyamfi's motion and notice To Reconsider and Dimiss Appeal 07-FM-289 is taken from the January 2007, order denying Ms. Brown's timely motion to reconsider the previous order that denied attorney's fees. It is **more** LWILLIAMS
8/30/2007	Further ORDERED that Gyamfi's Motion to Late File has been Lodged Reply Brief in 06FM933 is GRANTED and the clerk shall

	file the LODGE reply brief. IT IS **more** LWILLIAMS
8/30/2007	Further ORDERED that Gyamfi shall, within 20 days from the date of this order, file his appellee brief in appeal 07FM289. Failure to file the brief will result in these appeals being submitted for consideration on the briefs as filed. (FAGLTE) LWILLIAMS
8/30/2007	Appellant's Reply Brief – 06FM933 LWILLIAMS
8/30/2007	Aplt Motion to Extend Time to File Reply Brief by 7 days in 07-FM-289 DMITHCELL
9/12/2007	Supplemental Record - #7 (105pgs/Tape) 1/10/07 proc. PMCMILLAN
9/12/2007	Supplemental Record - #8 (17pgs/Tape) 1/11/07 proc. PMCMILLAN
9/20/2007	Appellee's Brief 07-FM-289 AVAN
9/20/2007	Brief Completed AVAN
9/21/2007	Ord Grant Aplt Mo To Ext. Time to File reply Brief and Gyamfi's brief inm appeal no. 07-FM-289 shall be filled within 7 days from the date of this order. (GP) DMILES
9/28/2007	Appellant's Reply Brief (07-FM-289) DMITCHELL
10/24/2007	Upon consideration of the notices of appeal in these matters, and it appearing that briefing has been completed in appeal nos. 06-FM-933 and 07-FM-289, and it further appearing that no new transcript is needed for appeal no. 07-FM-1119, it is ORDERED, sua sponte, that appeal no. 07-FM-1119 is consolidated with previously consolidated appeal nos. 06-FM-933 and 07-FM-289 for all purposes. It is F/O that the clerk of the Superior Court shall transmit the record

	index for 07-FM-1119 to this court. It is F/O that appellant's briefing addressing the issues raised in appeal no. 07-FM-1119 and the appendix, shall be filed within 40 days from the date of this order, and appellee's brief shall be filed within 30 days thereafter. (ETW) DMILES
10/26/2007	Appellant's Motion for Stay pursuant upon Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellant Procedure DENIED 11/14/2007 DMITCHELL
11/14/2007	Ordered that the MOTION of KWAME GYAMFI to Stay is DENIED. It is Further ORDERED that the Supplemental brief and appendix of Kwame Gyamfi on the issues raised in appeal no. 07-FM-1119 remains due and shall be filed on or before December 3, 2007. The reply brief of Janet Brown shall be filed 30 days thereafter. LWILLIAMS
12/03/2007	Appellant's Supplemental Brief/Memorandum (07-FM-1119) DMITCHELL
12/20/2007	Notice of Filing a Petition for Writ of Certiorari PBRADY
	SCHEDULED EVENTS
10/02/2007	Ready To Be Calendared Summary
11/30/2007	Record Index 07FM1119
1/02/2008	Appeellee's Supplemental Brief/Memorandum

Appendix E
Excerpts of Trial Transcripts

TRIAL TRANSCRIPT EXCERPTS

Judge: Pamela Young Diaz

Date: March 11, 2004

Docket Number: S108-04, Page 2,3,18

(This is the opening of the case, the clerk is present and asking that each party state there name for the record. On page 3, Defendant's Counsel, Jerry Hunter is giving the court a copy of the counter claim that was filed on Feb. 10th,2004. On page 18 of the transcript, counselor Jerry Hunter had advised the court that the defendant had not received any response to the discovery that was filed on Feb. 10th, 2004. This is important, because the court has no record on the docket sheet of any of these transactions)

Pg. 2,3
THE CLERK – 13 on the calendar, 108 2004, Brown v. Gyamfi. Counsel, please state your name for the record.

MS McCANN- Good Morning, Your Honor; Diedre McCann on behalf of Janet Brown

THE CLERK: Ma'am, please state your name.

MS. BROWN: Janet Camille Brown

THE CLERK: Thank You. Counsel?

MR. HUNTER: Good morning, your honor, Jerry Hunter, Counsel for the defendant and counter-plaintiff.

THE CLERK: Thank you. Sir, please state your name.

MR. GYAMFI: Kwame Gyamfi

THE COURT: Counsel?

MS. McCANN: Yes, your honor, Here today on a custody – a child support matter, I'm sorry, of the parties' soon-to-be six-year-old son. And Mr. Gyamfi has filed an answer and counter-claim for custody.

THE COURT: oh, that's part, I don't have. Okay, it didn't come through my (indiscernible) so, I hope you have an extra, extra copy in there.

MR. HUNTER: Do you have an extra copy? Okay.

MR. GYAMFI: Yes, I have an extra copy.

MR. HUNTER: Since this was filed – let me give you this.

THE COURT: You filed it - - What date was it time-stamped?

MR. HUNTER: This copy's time-stamped, so.

THE COURT: On the 12th, yes.

MR. HUNTER: I can run off - -

THE COURT: Oh, gosh, February 10?

MR. GYAMFI: Do you need one?

MR. HUNTER: No (indiscernible)

MR. GYAMFI: Okay

THE COURT: Okay

MR. HUNTER: You can keep that, Your Honor. I can run off another copy.

THE COURT: Okay, but you really need to have a copy of the time-stamped one, to be honest with you, but February 10th, all right.

Pg 18.

MR. HUNTER: Your Honor, I have extensive discovery in this case because I have yet to see, my client has yet to see any bills that this child has that are not covered by insurance. He has total insurance for this child. She has insurance. If there are any bills that are not covered by her insurance, his insurance covers it. There are no bills.

Judge: Honorable Odessa Vincent

Trial Date: 1/24/2005

File #: 06-01912A

Pages: 195-197

(The defendant's counselor, Ms. Deidra L. McEachern, is cross examining the petitioner, Mr. Kwame Gyamfi. This excerpt brings onto the record evidence regarding the validity of the order issued in the child support hearing on March 12, 2004. Mr. Kwame Gyamfi made attempts to validate the order and was unable to validate the authenticity of the order and eventually focused his attention on the upcoming custody hearings and proceedings)

Pg 195

Question (Mrs. McEachern): In fact, when you thought that I worked for Corporation Counsel, you wrote a letter to me and asked me to give you a copy of the Corporation Counsel's booklet?

Answer (K. Gyamfi): It wasn't you. I thought it was you, but it wasn't you, it was a completely different name.

Question (Mrs. McEachern): Actually, it was me. Was it Deidra Burton Davis?

Answer (K. Gyamfi): That's correct.

Question (Mrs. McEachern): That's me.

Answer(K. Gyamfi): Oh that's you. Okay. I'm sorry, I thought it was a mixup at the Corporation Counsel. I didn't know.

Question (Mrs. McEachern): And you also insinuated that I had something to do with getting the courtroom changed?

Answer (K. Gyamfi) Actually, we didn't know who you were when you walked into the courtroom, we thought you was Aileen Oliver.

THE COURT: Answer her question, why don't you just answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Cousnsel, could you repeat the question, please?

Question (Mrs. McEachern): In your letter that you wrote to me, you insinuated that I had something to do with getting the courtroom changed for the child support hearing?

Answer (K. Gyamfi): I don't believe I insinuated that.

Question (Mrs. McEachern): Did you ask if I had something t do with that?

Answer (K. Gyamfi) I did ask that.

Question (Mrs. McEachern): And what, why did you ask that question?

Answer (K. Gyamfi): I asked the question because I did not recognize the name, Deidra Burton Davis. Counsel and I had always communicated with Eileen Oliver. When the Magistrate sent mailing to my house, it had corporation counsel. Every lawyer

that I talked to was confused as to why Corporation Counsel was listed on that document that I was sharing with them and felt that it was something that I needed to investigate.

Question (Mrs. McEachern): May I approach the witness, your honor?

THE COURT: Yes,

Question (Mrs. McEachern): (Indiscernible) you defense Exhibit No. 14, please? Did you find it?

Answer (K. Gyamfi) Yes, I have it.

Question (Mrs. McEachern): Okay, Is there a letter of June 22nd written to my attention, Deidra Burton-Davis, from you?

Answer (K. Gyamfi): That's correct.

Question (Mrs. McEachern): And is that the letter where you, that I was speaking of?

Answer (K. Gyamfi): Yes, that's correct.

.....

Mrs. McEachern: Your Honor, I'd ask to move defendant's Exhibit No. 14

MR KUDER: No Objection,

THE COURT: All right. Defendant's No. 14 is admitted, no objection.

Appendix F
Defendant Exhibit
Submitted and Certified
On the Record as Exhibit #14

Request for Explanation & Documentation

Date: June 22, 2004

Attention: Deidra L. Burton-Davis, Esp.
601 Indiana Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20004

From: Professor Kwame Gyamfi
905 Jackson Valley Ct.
Bowie, MD 20721

Re: Request for Documentation & Explanation

Attention: Deidra L. Burton-Davis

As you may be aware, the case #PS-108-04 has been consolidated with case #DR-1036-04 under Judge Vincent. In the above mention case PS-108-04 your services were rendered for Ms. Janet C. Brown. According to the Child Support Enforcement Division public recording, there is "no confidential attorney-client relationship exist between the Office of the Corporation Counsel and the custodial parent". Therefore, in preparation for the upcoming custody case, please provide the following documentation and explanations to the following request:

- Please provide a complete copy of the Corporation Counsel policy booklet.

- Please provide a copy of Janet C. Brown's application to utilize CSED's services.

- The Court order for Child support (PS-108-04) was filed by a private attorney named, Aileen Oliver on January 28, 2004. (see attachments). Did you or anyone in your office have any communications or correspondences with Ms. Oliver before or after the court date of March 11, 2004 regarding Ms. Brown's legal issues? If yes, then please provide an explanation of what was said and any documentation that was shared.

- This case was originally scheduled for Court room 47 on March 11,2004. This room assignment was changed to the main court building in room 110. Did you or anyone in your office have anything to with these changes? If yes, then please provide an explanation as to why it was changed and who was contacted in order to make the change. Please provide the full name(s) and contact information.

- During the court proceedings of March 11, 2004. It was your testimony and client that suggested that the child in question was a "special needs" child. Please provide a copy of the Individual Education Program (IEP) recommendations from Langdon Elementary School, which would support this allegation or any other document

that was provided to you by Ms. Brown before the court proceedings.

- Also, during these court proceedings, it was your testimony and client that suggested that the court hear the expert testimony of Andrea Thompson. Please provide a copy of her experience in the area of Speech-Communications and language therapy and any documentation that would have supported her testimony.

- The public website of your office suggest the following as it relates to clients: The CSED helps you **if you receive a public assistance check** (Welfare/TANF) to care for a child under age 18. Please provide an explanation as to whether clients must be on "Welfare" and site the specific page number as to where it could be found in the policy booklet.

- Please forward the requested items to the above address in Bowie, MD.

Thank you for your cooperation.

"s/n"

Professor Kwame Gyamfi, MTID,MIS,CIS